

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISTRIBUTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT/DISTRIBUTION (FUI)	· / 					
ΑI	AIRS ID#: 1270185 DATE: <u>01/24/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:00 A.</u>	<u>M.</u> DEPART: <u>10:15 A.M.</u>					
FA	FACILITY NAME: PRESTIGE GUNITE/JACOBS RD SILO						
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 1492 JACOBS RD						
	DELAND 32724-2630						
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/12/2006 / 5/12/2011 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: Mobile: Facility may be operating without Entitlement!						
Facility Section							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
D 4	A DE H. ONGVER INTRODUCTORY MEDITING						
	PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING . Name(s) of facility representative(s):	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
	Brief Notes: No Facility at this location and no contact information in ARMS or GPCI. Please see attached report below.						
2.	2. Is the Authorized Representative still? If no, who is?:	YesNo					
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? - 3. Is the facility contact still?	Yes					
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No Yes No Conducted at a rate baded during inspection. Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No To h. Yes No rate and
duration?	nutes ich is separate ollector nn?

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each of	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by: 	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		_
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	L Yes	∐ No
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	□ N.
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		∐ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	🗌 Yes	\square No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑ box for each	•		
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		_			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		- L Yes	∐ No		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or					
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day			☐ No		
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifito the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing	- ,	·	□ No		
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific					
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	e business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate		nit,			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu)?□ Yes	□ No		
If YES, what was the purpose?		,. L. 100			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i	t was	□ 3 7	□ N1.		
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		∐ Yes - ∏ Yes	∐ No □ No		
11 1 25, were any periods more than a manual in duranter.					
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check 🗹			
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of					
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits a solution of the comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits a solution of the comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits a solution of the comprision of the comprisi			⊠ No		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days			□ No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		_			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes	⊠ No		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement			⊠ No ⊠ No		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub-	stantially different?	- Yes	⊠ No		
d. A change in ownership?		- Yes	⊠ No		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat	ion form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted			
30 days prior to the change?		- Yes	☐ No		
John Vigliotti	01/24/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	1/24/2017				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins				

COMMENTS: GUNITE/CONCRETE BATCH PLANT

On, 01/24/2012 Mr. John Vigliotti visited the subject facility to perform a level 2 compliance Assistance inspection. Upon arrival, Mr. Vigliotti noticed that the address where the plant once was, was currently being occupied by a furniture store. There is no contact information either under ARMS or in GPCI to verify information with. Upon returning to the District Office, Mr. Vigliotti was instructed by the FDEP Supervisory Staff to finalize the report and mark as a Shut Down Facility. Also, Mr. Vigliotti was instructed to send an email along with this report to Mr. Jeff Ruskin Permitting Coordinator for FDEP and notify him of the status.

Based on the inspection, the facility was found to be in a shut down status.